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ABSTRACT

The aim of the present study was to investigate the growth performance of Nile tilapia immersed in 17α-methyltestosterone (MT) and recombinant giant grouper growth hormone (rEiGH), and fed a rEiGH-enriched diet. A total of 200 tilapia larvae aged 10 days and 14 days post hatching was immersed in 1 liter of 30 g/L saline water for 3 minutes, then in freshwater containing either MT, MT+rEiGH, or rEiGH for 4 hours. The MT dose was 2.0 mg/L and rEiGH was 2.5 mg/L. As control treatment without MT and rEiGH. Fish were maintained in 250-L aquariums for 6 weeks and then moved to net cages for 8 weeks of rearing. The rEiGH-enriched diet was fed to the 6-week-old fish for a month, and then fed a non-enriched diet. Each treatment was triplicates. The result showed that the daily growth rate (DGR), biomass gain (BM), survival (SR) and feed (artemia nauplii) consumption during maintenance in the aquariums in the rEiGH and MT+rEiGH treatments were higher (P<0.05) than in the control. The higher DGR and BM at net cage rearing and lower feed conversion ratio (P<0.05) were also found in MT and MT+rEiGH treatments. None of the treatments showed any difference in survival (P>0.05). Profits estimation in the MT and MT+rEiGH treatments were 63.38% and 57.91% higher than the control. The present study concluded that higher Nile tilapia farming performance could be obtained by MT immersion in the larval phase and feeding juvenile on the rEiGH-enriched diet.
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1. Introduction

The growth of male Nile tilapia is faster than that of females (Sudrajat et al., 2007; Chakraborty 2011), making the cultivation productivity of monosex male Nile tilapia is higher and more profitable. One of the efforts that could be done to produce monosex male Nile tilapia is through sex reversal which could be done using the hormone 17α-methyltestosterone (MT) (Srisakultiew and Kamonrat, 2013; Megbowon and Mojekwu, 2014; Apfriyaningrum et al., 2017). The fish growth rate can also be accelerated using a recombinant growth hormone (rGH). The rGH has been studied in several fish species, including eel (Handoyo et al., 2012), giant gourami (Irmanawati et al., 2012; Budi et al., 2015), humpback grouper (Antoro et al., 2014), and Nile tilapia (Muhammad et al., 2014; Vinaslyam et al., 2016). During the larval phase, rGH treatment can be delivered by immersion method. Administration of rGH through immersion has been proven to increase gourami larvae biomass by 79.8% compared to the control (Irmanawati et al., 2012). In Nile tilapia larvae, using the same method at a dose of 2.5 mg L⁻¹, it could increase growth by 49.6% compared to the control (Setyawan et al., 2014). In addition, in the previous study, the productivity and potential profit of aquaculture using feeds with different protein concentrations and enrichment with rEiGH in Nile tilapia rearing in ponds was tested (unpublished data). In that study, the best performance was found in treatment using feed with a protein content of 28% and enriched with giant grouper recombinant growth hormone (rEiGH).

The administration of MT and rEiGH through immersion in larvae followed by the administration of rEiGH through feed is
assumed to give better results. In fact, treatment using a combination between rGH and MT via injection has been reported to give a response in the growth of juvenile Nile tilapia (Linan-Cabello et al., 2012). Therefore, this study was conducted to assess the performance of Nile tilapia given a combination treatment MT & rEIGH via immersion method for larvae followed by administration of rEIGH-enriched diet.

2. Materials and Method

Nile tilapia larvae procurement

Nine-day-old Nile tilapia larvae (weight: 13.23±0.43 mg, length: 0.92±0.16 cm) post hatching were procured from the Field Station, Department of Aquaculture, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Science, Bogor Agricultural University. The Nile tilapia larvae were adapted for two days in an aquarium before given the treatment.

Research design

This study was designed in two steps, and each used a complete randomized design by applying 4 treatments and triplicates. The first step involved these treatments: 1) immersion in the 17α-methyltestosterone (MT) solution, 2) immersion in rEIGH, 3) immersion in MT and rEIGH, and 4) the control (without MT and rEIGH treatments). Then the fish were kept in a net cage and fed diet with a protein content of 28% and enriched with rEIGH, except for the controls which were fed on diet not enriched with rEIGH.

Immersion of larvae with MT and rEIGH

The MT (17α-methyl-4-androstone-17α-ol-3-one, C_{20}H_{26}O_{2}, Argent, Philippines) immersion method and dosage used referred to Wassermann and Afonso (2003). An amount of 2.0 mg of MT was dissolved in 0.25 mL of 100% ethanol. The rEIGH (Mina Grow: Center for Freshwater Aquaculture Sukabumi and Department of Aquaculture-Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Sciences- Bogor Agricultural University) dosage referred to Setyawan et al. (2014), and the rEIGH immersion method used referred to Syazli et al. (2012), with slight modifications. An amount of 2.5 mg rEIGH was dissolved in 150 mL PBS (phosphate buffer saline), and each of the solutions [MT & rEIGH; MT; rEIGH; control (ethanol and PBS)] were placed inside plastic bags that had been filled with 1 liter of water and given strong aeration for homogenization. Then 200 larvae of 10-day-post hatching were placed in each plastic bag containing 30 g/L of saline water for 3 minutes. Then the plastic bags were oxygenated (30% oxygen : 70% water), then let to stand for 4 hours for the immersion process. The second immersion was conducted after the larvae had reached the age of 14 days post hatching, using the same procedure and larvae as the first immersion. All of the treatments and control were conducted triplicate.

Fish maintenance and feeding treatment

The Nile tilapia larvae were kept in 12 aquariums (sized 1×0.5×0.5 m³) at densities of 200 larvae/aquarium for six weeks. The next phase was maintenance in a net cage (sized 2×2×1.5 m³) placed in a concrete pond (sized 20×10×1.5 m³), for eight weeks. Before the fish were placed in the net cage, the density was made uniform by taking 160 fish randomly from each aquarium. The rest of the fish were kept in aquariums for sexing.

The feed given during the 6 week maintenance in the aquarium was artemia nauplii (for 12 days), tubifex (for 14 days), 40% protein commercial feed (for 14 days), and 28% protein commercial feed (for 15 days) as adaptation feed before entering the next phase. Each change from one feed to another was done gradually. After the adaptation and after the fish were placed in the net cages, the fish were fed 28% protein treatment feed enriched with rEIGH at a dosage of 3.0 mg/kg feed following the method Hardiantho et al. (2012). The control (without MT immersion and rEIGH) were given diet not enriched with rEIGH. Diet enriched rEIGH was administered every three days for four weeks during the first month. The feeding frequency was three times a day (morning, noon, and afternoon) at satiation. To maintain water quality, in each aquarium was placed one aeration unit and 40-75% of the water was replaced every other day, while the pond was equipped with a blower (100 points capacity) and water was only added when the volume decreased due to evaporation. The quality of water where the Nile tilapia were kept both in the aquariums and in the pond was: the temperature ranged 28-30°C, the pH 6.51-7.23, dissolved oxygen 3.7-5.8 mg/L and ammonium (NH₄) 0.21-0.33 mg/L. The water quality parameters were all within a range suitable for Nile tilapia rearing (Chakraborty et al., 2011).
Parameters and data analysis

The fish biomass was measured every two weeks, and the daily growth rate (DGR) was calculated based on Lugert et al. (2014). The feed conversion ratio (FCR) and survival (SR) were evaluated at the end of the maintenance period (aquarium and net cage) referring to Chakraborthy et al. (2011). Potential profits were calculated referring to Alimuddin et al. (2017, not yet published) which the difference between income (sell market price of Nile tilapia) and cost production (feed cost larvae and sex reverse substance, rElGH and supplement) in one cycle rearmment. Percentage of males (M) was only calculated once at the end of the second phase of fish maintenance, including the rest fish kept in the aquariums. Samples were randomly taken 40% of the fish from each net cage for sex identification for all treatments. Sexing was done using a histological method (Chakraborthy et al., 2011). The DGR, FCR, and SR, biomass gain (BM) and M data were analyzed using analysis of variance (one-way ANOVA). If there was an influence that was significantly different at a significance level of 5%, it was followed by the Duncan test. The potential profit was analyzed descriptively. Data analyses were conducted using the statistical software SPSS 16.0.

3. Results

Maintenance in the aquarium

Growth performance

The growth performance of the Nile tilapia larvae demonstrated by each treatment during maintenance in the aquariums (6 weeks) is presented in Table 1. Results showed that the DGR of fish treated with rElGH and those treated with MT+rElGH were the same and both were higher (P<0.05) than the control and MT treatments. This was also the case with the resulting BM, but the BM in the MT+rElGH treatment was lower (P<0.05) than in the rElGH treatment.

The larval survival during maintenance in the aquarium is presented in Table 1. The analysis results demonstrated that the SR of the fish larvae treated with rElGH (rElGH+MT, and rElGH) was higher (p<0.05) than those treated without rElGH (MT and control). The SR of larvae treated with MT and the controls was the same, ranging between 81.67 and 82.33%.

Table 1. Daily growth rate (DGR), biomass gain (BM), and survival (SR) of the Nile tilapia larvae during maintenance in the aquariums

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>MT</th>
<th>rElGH</th>
<th>MT+rElGH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DGR (%/day)</td>
<td>12.44 ± 0.10&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>12.38 ± 0.08&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>12.80 ± 0.12&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>12.68 ± 0.10&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BM (kg)</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.00&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.01&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.42 ± 0.00&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.01&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR (%)</td>
<td>82.33 ± 2.80&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>81.67 ± 0.29&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>89.17 ± 1.53&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>87.50 ± 1.32&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Control: immersion without the hormone 17a-methyltestosterone (MT) and without the recombinant giant grouper growth hormone (rElGH). MT: Immersion in MT without rElGH, rElGH: Immersion in rElGH without MT, MT+rElGH: Immersion in MT and rElGH. During rearing in the net cage, the fish were fed on rElGH-enriched diet, except for the controls which were fed diet not enriched with rElGH. The values are presented in the form of the average ± standard deviation (n=3). Different superscript letters on the same row indicate significantly different values (p<0.05).

Table 2. Feed consumption in the Nile tilapia during maintenance in the aquariums

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feed consumption (grams)</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>MT</th>
<th>rElGH</th>
<th>MT + rElGH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Artemia nauplii</td>
<td>19.65 ± 0.72&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>20.90 ± 1.47&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>24.15 ± 0.50&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>23.01 ± 1.08&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tubifex</td>
<td>163.37 ± 12.78&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>142.00 ± 19.14&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>148.57 ± 6.41&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>150.60 ± 16.87&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial feed-1</td>
<td>89.33 ± 5.35&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>93.67 ± 21.36&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>94.83 ± 15.20&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>92.07 ± 3.37&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial feed-2</td>
<td>170.75 ± 32.88&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>176.19 ± 30.06&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>192.95 ± 18.90&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>200.41 ± 19.51&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Control: immersion without the hormone 17a-methyltestosterone (MT) and without the recombinant giant grouper growth hormone (rElGH). MT: Immersion in MT without rElGH, rElGH: Immersion in rElGH without MT, MT+rElGH: Immersion in MT and rElGH. During rearing in the net cage, the fish were fed on rElGH-enriched diet, except for the controls which were fed diet not enriched with rElGH. The values are presented in the form of the average ± standard deviation (n=3). Different superscript letters on the same row indicate significantly different values (p<0.05).
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Table 3. Daily growth rate (DGR), biomass gain (BM), survival (SR), and feed conversion ratio (FCR) of Nile tilapia fed feed enriched with rELGH during rearing in the net cage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>MT</th>
<th>rELGH</th>
<th>MT+rELGH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DGR (%/day)</td>
<td>3.94 ± 0.14a</td>
<td>4.36 ± 0.08a</td>
<td>4.17 ± 0.05b</td>
<td>4.39 ± 0.06a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BM (kg)</td>
<td>2.75 ± 0.13a</td>
<td>13.53 ± 0.10bc</td>
<td>3.34 ± 0.13b</td>
<td>3.62 ± 0.18a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCR (kg)</td>
<td>1.65 ± 0.06a</td>
<td>1.25 ± 0.04a</td>
<td>1.42 ± 0.03b</td>
<td>1.22 ± 0.09a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR (%)</td>
<td>94.79 ± 1.91a</td>
<td>94.38 ± 2.25a</td>
<td>95.83 ± 3.55a</td>
<td>94.37 ± 2.50a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Control: immersion without the hormone 17α-methyltestosterone (MT) and without the recombinant giant grouper growth hormone (rELGH). MT: Immersion in MT without rELGH, rELGH: Immersion in rELGH without MT, MT+rELGH: Immersion in MT and rELGH. During rearing in the net cage, the fish were fed on rELGH-enriched diet, except for the controls which were fed diet not enriched with rELGH. The values are presented in the form of the average ± standard deviation (n=3). Different superscript letters on the same row indicate significantly different values (p<0.05).

Feed consumption

Feed consumption (FC) of the Nile tilapia larvae during maintenance in the aquariums for the four types of feed given is presented in Table 2. The analysis results demonstrated that a difference in FC was only found in those fed artemia nauplii, while the rest were the same (P>0.05). The FC of those fed artemia and given the rELGH and MT+rELGH treatments were the same, and both were higher than the other treatments (Table 2).

Rearing in net cages

Growth, feed conversion ratio, and survival

The growth performance of Nile tilapia kept in net cages for 8 weeks and fed diet enriched with rELGH is presented in Table 3. The analysis results demonstrated that the the DGR of fish treated with MT+rELGH and those treated with MT were similar, and both were higher than those treated with rELGH and the controls (P<0.05). The highest BM was also demonstrated by treatment using MT+rELGH, followed by treatment using MT, rELGH and the lowest was the control (P<0.05). The BM of fish treated with MT+ rELGH, MT, and rELGH were 31.66%, 28.31% and 21.42%, respectively, all higher than that of the control.

Table 3 also revealed that feeding diet enriched with rELGH could significantly (P<0.05) reduce FCR in fish treated with MT+rELGH, MT, and rELGH each by 26.27%, 24.19% and 14.23% compared to the control, respectively. The FCR for fish treated with MT+rELGH, and MT were not significantly different (P<0.05), whereas the SR for all treatments was the same (P>0.05), ranging between 94.38 and 95.83% (Table 3).

Percentage of males

The male percentage (M) of Nile tilapia treated with MT+rELGH, rELGH, MT, and control is presented in Figure 1. Based on the analysis results, it was discovered that the M in fish treated with MT and MT+rELGH were similar, and both were higher (P<0.05) than those treated without MT. The M in fish treated with rELGH and the control were not significantly different (P>0.05).

Potential profit

The potential profit for Nile tilapia aquaculture activities which calculated in a simple way is presented in Figure 2. The profits from fish treated with MT and with MT+rELGH were higher than those treated with rELGH and the control. The profits were 63.68% and 57.91%, respectively, higher than the profit from the control.
Immersion with rEIGH both on its own (rEIGH) and combined with MT (MT+rEIGH) were proven to increase the weight growth performance, biomass gain (BM), and survival (SR) of the Nile tilapia larvae (Table 1). The DGR of fish treated with rEIGH and treated with MT+rEIGH were not significantly different (P>0.05), but both were higher than treatment using MT and the control. This demonstrated that the growth of Nile tilapia larvae was more influenced by the hormone rEIGH than the MT. GH accelerates growth through the involvement of its receptor (GHRs) in the target tissue and could also involve the insulin-like growth factor (IGF-1) (Debnanth, 2010). Expression of IGF-1 was higher in fish treated with rEIGH than in the control, demonstrated by Hardiantho et al. (2012).

The hormone MT in the larval stadium functions in directing sexual differentiation towards the male attributes through the inhibition of the aromatase which changes estrogen from androgen so that the percentage of males increases (Sudrajat et al., 2007). The results of this study were in line with the results reported in Wassermann and Afonso, (2003) that the use of MT through the immersion of Nile tilapia larvae has been proven to increase the percentage of males by 91.6%; even though there were no differences in DGR in the first month post treatment (Chakraborty et al., 2011). While the application of rGH through immersion has been proven to increase the DGR in each test fish (Moriyama and Kawauchi, 1990; Acosta et al., 2007; Irmawati et al., 2012). Moreover, it was reported by Linan-Cabello et al., (2012) that the administration of rGH and MT (rGH and MT+rGH) through injections in juvenile Nile tilapia both gave responses that were not significantly different (P>0.05) from the DGR, but both were higher (P<0.05) than those treated with MT or the control 45 days post treatment.

In addition, the increase in DGR was followed by an increase in the larvae’s appetite.
post immersion with rEGH. This was revealed by the FC (artemia nauplii) which was higher (P<0.05) in the treatment using rEGH (rEGH and MT+rEGH) compared to treatment using MT and the control (Table 2), while the feed consumption in the others (Tubifex, commercial feed 1 and 2) were the same (P>0.05). The increase in FC was assumed to be an effect of rEGH on the larvae in increasing the DGR, causing the need for nutrients to increase. This was in line with the statement by Imawati et al. (2012) that in accelerating growth, rGH can act through an anabolic action using the protein metabolism pathway if adequate energy is available. This was supported by Antoro et al. (2014) stating that the function of rGH in humpback grouper, in addition to increasing growth, was to increase the appetite which was demonstrated by the increase in the feed consumption.

In addition to an increased FC in the treatment using rEGH, the SR was also higher than that of the treatments without rEGH (MT, and control) (Table 1). This was in line with the results reported by Syazili et al. (2012) who found that the SR of giant gourami larvae treated with immersion with rGH was higher (96.67%) than that of the the control (77.33%). Increased in SR was likely to be related to the fish increased resilience to stress which was thought to be caused by the change of feed type and physical disturbances during rearing. This was supported by Acosta et al. (2009) and Syazili et al. (2012) who stated that stress could be caused by the salinity treatment, physical disturbances during maintenance such as collection of the larvae for treatment and changing water during rearing.

The increased DGR and SR in Nile tilapia larvae given the rEGH treatment would have a direct correlation with the BM (Table 1). In this study, the BM for the MT+rEGH treatment was lower (P<0.05) than the sole rEGH treatment. Different results were reported by Linan-Cabello et al. (2012) where juvenile Nile tilapia given the rGH and MT treatment through the injection method experienced increases in biomass that were not significantly different (P>0.05) and both were higher (P<0.05) than the treatment using MT or the control 45 days post treatment. The BM value was lower in the treatment using MT+rEGH; this was believed to be caused by a competition in absorbing rEGH and MT in the larval bodies, or a limit in the amount of liquids that could be absorbed by the larvae. A lower rEGH dosage did not give an optimum effect, while an overly large dosage would trigger the negative feedback mechanism, causing growth to be slow (Promdonkoy et al., 2004).

Then the fish were moved to the net cages and given the treatment feed enriched with rEGH and kept for 8 weeks, and they demonstrated better DGR, BM, and FCR responses than those of the control (Table 3). The DGR of the MT and MT+rEGH treatments were not significantly different (P>0.05), but both were higher (P<0.05) than those of the rEGH treatment and control. This proved that the Nile tilapia DGR, besides being influenced by the rEGH treatment, was also influenced by the MT treatment which caused a higher percentage of males (Figure 2). The high male percentage would influence growth because the males of this species have a faster growth rate than the females (Phelps and Popma, 2000; Sudrajat et al., 2007; Chakraborty et al., 2011). Administration of feed enriched with rGH was proven to increase the DGR in eels (Handoyo et al., 2012), humpback grouper (Antoro et al., 2014), and giant gourami (Budi et al., 2015).

The high DGR in the rEGH treatments (especially the MT+rEGH and MT treatments) was followed by a low feed conversion rate (FCR), which had a direct positive correlation with higher biomass gain (Table 3). The low FCR followed by a higher BM showed that the rEGH administered through feed could stimulate the nutrient efficiency for the growth. This was in line with the reports from a number of researchers such as Hardianto et al. (2012) in Nile tilapia and Budi et al. (2015) in giant gourami. In addition, Vinasyiam et al. (2016) reported that the addition of rGH in Nile tilapia feed could decrease the FCR through the increased nutrient utilization (protein and fats) for growth, resulting in a high BM.

During the rearing phase, the SR for all treatments at the end of the maintenance period was the same (P>0.05). This indicated that the environmental conditions during maintenance were within a suitable range, and there was no effect of the application of rEGH through feed on the SR.

The increased DGR, BM, and FCR in the MT, and MT+rEGH treatments had a positive correlation with the potential income rate at the end of the rearing period. At the income calculation, because the fish had not yet reached >200 gram/fish (IDR 27,000/kg) (http://wpi.kkp.go.id/; for West Java), the price of the fish was assumed to be at the lowest (IDR 18,500/kg) for all fish sizes. Based on that assumption, the highest profit rate was at the MT and MT+rEGH treatments, each 63.68% and 57.91% higher than the control. The higher profit rate for the MT treatment compared to the MT+rEGH treatment was due to the higher cost for using rEGH, raising the production
cost. This differed from the results reported by Alimuddin et al. (2017, not yet published). The potential profit for fish farmers who cultivated sex reversed Nile tilapia and used feed enriched with rEIGH was higher than those who raised fish receiving only the sex reversal treatment. This was most likely because the rearing period in that particular study was 14 weeks post immersion (MT) treatment and the treatment with feed enriched with rEIGH was only conducted for four weeks (the second month post initial treatment).

5. Conclusion

The administration of rEIGH to the larval and juvenile Nile tilapia accelerates growth and improves feed conversion. However, the highest potential profit was demonstrated by the sex reversal treatment without the application of rEIGH during the larval phase. Therefore, the highest Nile tilapia aquaculture performance was found in the sex reversal treatment during the larval phase, followed by feeding feed enriched with rEIGH at the rearing phase.
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